Summary

Why is this case new information?	The mCAF + CTGkt for mandibular anterior lingual (MAL) gingival recession (GR) is a minimally invasive, predictable procedure to increase KT, GT, and AG and to decrease GR over time. It addresses the unique features of the MAL anatomy and the normal oral functioning movement during the postoperative healing phase.
What are the keys to successful management of this case?	The MAL is a distinct anatomical area which requires correct preparation of the recipient site and SCTG with retained KT band.
What are the primary limitations to success in this case?	Prior to performing the mCAF + CTGkt for MAL GR, it is recommended that the clinician has had adequate experience in performing buccal aspect CAF for multiple teeth and harvesting CT graft with retained KT band and is familiar with the anatomical characteristics of the area.

Acknowledgments

The authors have no conflicts of interest to report.

Author Contributions

George K. Merijohn: conceived the case report idea, developed the surgical procedure, supervised the surgery, and led the writing; Leandro Chambrone: conceived the case report idea and critically revised the manuscript; Andrew I. Brodsky: performed the surgery and critically revised the manuscript; Pinelopi Xenoudi: conceived the case report idea and led the writing. All authors agree to be accountable for all aspects of work ensuring integrity and accuracy.

CORRESPONDENCE

Dr. George K. Merijohn, 2269, Chestnut Street #893, San Francisco, CA 94123.

E-mail: communication@merijohn.com

References

- 1. Lang NP, Löe H. The relationship between the width of keratinized gingiva and gingival health. J Periodontol 1972;43:623-627.
- 2. Schokking C. Free grafts of palatal mucosa on the lingual aspect of the mandible. J Clin Periodontol 1976; 3:251-255.
- 3. Langer B, Calagna L. The alteration of lingual mucosa with free gingival grafts. Protection of a denture bearing surface. J Periodontol 1978;49:646-648.

- 4. Langer B, Calagna L. The subepithelial connective tissue graft. J Prosthet Dent 1980;44:363-367.
- 5.) Langer B, Langer L. The subepithelial connective tissue graft technique for root coverage. J Periodontol 1985; 56: 715–720.
- 6. Wilcko MT, Wilcko WM, Murphy KG et al., Full-thickness flap/ subepithelial connective tissue grafting with intramarrow penetrations: three case reports of lingual root coverage. Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent 2005;25:561-569.
- 7. Zucchelli G, Bentivogli V, Bellone P, Mazzotti C. The connective tissue graft wall technique to improve root coverage and clinical attachment levels in lingual gingival defects. Int J Esthet Dent 2016;11:538-548.
- 8. Matter J. Creeping attachment of free gingiva grafts. A five-year followup study. J Periodontol 1980;51:681-685.
- 9. Agudio G, Chambrone L, Pini Prato G. Biologic remodeling of periodontal dimensions of areas treated with gingival augmentation: A 25-year follow-up observation. J Periodontol 2017;88:634-642.
- Assis G, Nevins M, Kim DM. The use of autogenous gingival graft for treatment of lingual recession on mandibular anterior teeth. Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent 2017;37:667-671.
- 11. Friedman N, Levine HL. Mucogingival surgery: Current status. J Periodontol 1964;35:5-21.
- Cortellini P, Bissada NF. Mucogingival conditions in the natural dentition: Narrative review, case definitions, and diagnostic considerations. J Periodontol 2018;89 Suppl 1:S204-213.
- Chambrone L, Pini Prato GP. Clinical insights about the evolution of root coverage procedures: The flap, the graft, and the surgery. J Periodontol 2019;90:9-15.
- Hartman PF, Zimny ML, Cassingham RJ. A scanning electron microscopic study of the healing of free gingival grafts. J Periodontol 1977;48:435-439
- Karring T, Ostergaard E, Löe H. Conservation of tissue specificity after heterotopic transplantation of gingiva and alveolar mucosa. J Periodontal Res 1971;6:282-293.

) indicates key references.